Reply to Office communication of September 23, 2003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Mcant(s): Hideaki Shinotsuka

ropl. No.:

09/040,560

Conf. No.:

1970

Filed:

March 18, 1998

Title:

INFORMATION SIGNAL TRANSMISSION DEVICE

Art Unit:

2611

Examiner:

Tran, Hai V

Docket No.:

112857-120

Technology Center 2600

RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-RESPONSIVENESS

Sir:

In response to the Office communication dated September 23, 2003, please consider the remarks below.

REMARKS

In response to the Amendment filed on July 11, 2003, an Office communication is issued stating that "Applicant's response does not address the rejection of claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph," issued in the Office Action dated May 21, 2003. Applicant respectfully submits that the grounds for the issuance of this communication is improper.

Claims 7-11 have been canceled. Accordingly, the § 112, second paragraph rejection and the subject Office communication with respect to at least these claims are therefore moot. With respect to claims 1-6, independent claim 1 and claim 2 have been amended in the Amendment filed July 11, 2003 to address the issues relating to § 112, second paragraph rejection. Specifically, the last two paragraphs of page 2 of the Office Action states:

> "In claim 1, line 1 refers to a 'transmission device' for transmitting Line 3, refers to 'an information an information signal. broadcasting device' for broadcasting an information signal to a network could comprise again 'an information broadcasting device' for broadcasting a message to a network."